

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/617,548	BOWMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy C. Vanoy	1754	

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending

 (1) Timothy C. Vanoy.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Patrick Patnode, applicants' attorney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 August 2006
Time: 11:22 am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:


none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner informed the attorney that an advisory action will be mailed in response to the applicants' remarks submitted under 37CFR1.116 on May 9, 2006.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)